Theory in Practice: Modeling in Neuroimaging

How to model "big" MRI datasets

Outline of talk

- Theory recap: modelling approaches can be reduced to two types: predictive and descriptive
- "Big data" complicates our ability to apply both approaches
- Marginal Modelling is a good approach good for descriptive modelling
- Functional Random Forests is a good approach for predictive modelling
- Other approaches can also handle big data, but are beyond the scope of this workshop

Before even considering models, we need to know what question to ask

 How and where may cortical thickness be associated with working memory performance? Before even considering models, we need to know what question to ask

- How and where may cortical thickness be associated with working memory performance?
- Can measures of functional brain organization predict an individual's working memory ability?

Each question requires a different modelling approach

- How and where may cortical thickness be associated with working memory performance? Descriptive modelling
- Can measures of functional brain organization predict an individual's working memory ability? Predictive modelling

Descriptive models measure what one has collected **predictive** models measure what one will



1#. Describes	
Descriptive Analytics	Predictive Analytics
ւհոհ	ւլու
What happened in the past? By using the stored data.	What might happen in the future? By using the past data and analysing it.

Descriptive models explore data, predictive models confirm properties of data





Descriptive models provide insight, predictive models apply insight





Descriptive models are limited to in-sample data, predictive models require out-of-sample data





Descriptive models are assessed via theory and inference, predictive models are assessed by independent testing





Outline of talk

- Theory recap: modelling approaches can be reduced to two types: predictive and descriptive
- "Big data" complicates our ability to apply both approaches
- Marginal Modelling is a good approach for descriptive modelling
- Functional Random Forests is a good approach for predictive modelling
- Other approaches can also handle big data, but are beyond the scope of this workshop

First, all health-focused imaging studies should probably be big data



Our ABCD pipeline generates anywhere from 10 to 90 thousand tests



Our ABCD pipeline generates anywhere from 10 to 90 thousand tests (some special cases are in hundreds)



We've collected about 10,000 cases



ABCD needed a lot of coordination and data aggregation to collect over 10,000 participants



Auchter et al, 2018, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2018.04.003

Descriptive models must take into account this nested structure

- Complex models may be slow to calculate when analyzing ~4500 participants
 - Permutation tests may take days or even weeks
- Permutation tests lack exchangeability for complex questions

Permutation testing can reveal whether differences in community structure are significantly different

> A depression

> > Hirschhorn,2005, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg1521

Permute group assignment and calculate statistic



Hirschhorn,2005, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg1521

Do so for multiple permutations and construct a distribution of the statistic for permuted groups



Hirschhorn,2005, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg1521

P value is determined by the proportional rank of the observed statistic compared to the permuted distribution



Cluster failure: Why fMRI inferences for spatial extent have inflated false-positive rates

Anders Eklund^{a,b,c,1}, Thomas E. Nichols^{d,e}, and Hans Knutsson^{a,c}

А Beijing, one sample t-test, 6 mm, CDT p = 0.01 30 s on of 70 2 s events E2 randomized event xpected error rate (%) 60 05 05 09 95% C Familywise 20 FLAME1 FSL OLS 3dttest 3dMEMA Perm В Cambridge, one sample t-test, 6 mm, CDT p = 0.01 80 31 10 s on off 32 30 s on off 70 2 s events 2 randomized even Expected amilywise error rate (%) 05 07 05 06 09 20 FSL OLS 3dMEMA Perm

At a Z=2.3, false positive rates are high when not using permutation testing

Cluster failure: Why fMRI inferences for spatial extent have inflated false-positive rates

Anders Eklund^{a,b,c,1}, Thomas E. Nichols^{d,e}, and Hans Knutsson^{a,c}



At a Z=3.1, false positive rates are generally better and in-line with the true FP rate This all works because each individual is independently acquired from one another – the data are **exchangeable**

Independence gets more complicated when you have more complicated designs – but even here we can exchange every individual







However, if a second factor is nested, our permutations are limited to the nested pairs, restricting our permutations

b) Two-way nested model

Drug use



Family nested by drug use

Anderson and Braak, 2003, JSCS; 10.1080=0094965021000015558

More complex designs have even more restrictions, relative to the total number of permutations



Anderson and Braak, 2003, JSCS; 10.1080=0094965021000015558

In turn, restricted permutations have reduced power when controlling for the false positive rate



Predictive models must also take into account nested structure



https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5736019/

Scanner effects can be common, independent of site



Gareth Harman, 4/11/19 – combat Cortical Thickness

ComBat has also been used to correct for ABCD data, which can be predicted by site



Nielson, 2018, biorxiv; http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/309260

Cross-validation strategies can mitigate known but not unknown effects

- Stratified validation is possible via independent stratified groups
- Leave-one-site-out validation can help catch site effects
- But what about effects of scanner upgrades, software maintenance, or even changes in personnel?

Outline of talk

- Theory recap: modelling approaches can be reduced to two types: predictive and descriptive
- "Big data" complicates our ability to apply both approaches
- Marginal Modelling is a good approach for descriptive modelling
- Functional Random Forests is a good approach for predictive modelling
- Other approaches can also handle big data, but are beyond the scope of this workshop

The marginal model may be a more feasible solution for modeling ABCD populations

- Strengths:
 - Marginal model makes few assumptions with respect to the data
 - Nested-designs can be modeled or unmodeled, and left to the error term (hopefully)
 - Individual cases can be incomplete or missing for a marginal model
 - Longitudinal designs are feasible within the marginal model framework
 - Marginal model has a closed-form solution to the equation via a Sandwich Estimator (SwE)
 - It's fast, and can be feasibly run with limited resources on lots of data
 - Use of a wild bootstrap (WB) provides an NHST framework for complex questions

Critical limitations

- The marginal model cannot be used to draw inferences about individuals within a population
- It is an exploratory approach, which can be verified using subsequent confirmatory approaches
 - DEAP can help conform such analyses to best standards and practices through pre-registered reports, reproducibility, and independent validation

Bryan Gillaume's and Tom Nichols implemented an approach that uses a sandwich estimator to solve a marginal model


Marginal models are effectively linear, so we first estimate the parameters for our design matrix by dividing the imaging measure (Y) by the design (X)



For our software, the design matrix is just your non-imaging data



So for example, with the ABCD data we can input measures and test a model

Marginal model: y ~ RT



A sandwich estimator is used to estimate covariance and determine the fixed effects parameters



To handle nested structure, group covariance can be calculated separately (CRITICAL FOR ABCD)



For ABCD, it is good to control for site and gender



If needed we can perform a small sample size adjustment – this may be important if we used family as a nesting variable



Finally, a Wald test extracts a t-map for statistical inference



The statistical map looks like this



Use of a wild bootstrap enables inference similar to a permutation test – so we can control for the FWER



Such a test allows us to detect significant clusters



Wild bootstrap

- WB_value = fitted_value + residual_value*sample_value
- Sample with replacement can be from simple or complex distributions:
 - Radenbacher (-1, 1) would mean we either:
 - WB_value = fitted_value residual_value
 - WB_value = fitted_value + residual_value
- However, LOTS of possible distributions, so choice of distribution is important.

We have begun to implement a standalone MarginalModelCifti package in R

README.md

MarginalModelCifti

The goal of MarginalModelCifti is to perform marginal models on CIFTI processed datasets. The package contains a single main function that runs multiple subfunctions. Advanced users can use the subfunctions to construct their own analytic pipeline. However, this is not recommend for beginning users.

Alpha version will be released at -- http://github.com/dcan-labs/MarginalModelCifti

The main wrapper for MarginalModelCifti takes in imaging volumes and prepares them for analysis



ComputeMM is applied to the prepared data; user specifies the model using Wilkinson notation and wraps the SwE and Wald Test using Geepack



ComputeMM_WB generates the WB maps used to draw inferences about the T map



In turn a family of functions are used to parallellize ComputeMM_WB



Cluster detection is performed within the main wrapper, using information from both processes



ApplyWB_to_data.R	
ComputeFits.R	
ComputeMM.R	
ComputeMM_WB.R	
ComputeResiduals.R	The MarginalModelCifti package
ComputeZscores.R	comprises multiple functions that
GetSurfAreas.R	be accessed by anyone
🖹 GetVolAreas.R	
PrepCIFTI.R	
PrepSurf.R	
PrepSurfMetric.R	
PrepVolMetric.R	

Functions are documented in accordance with CRAN guidelines

	Files Plots Packages Help Viewer			
	R: ComputeMM - compute the marginal model at a single Find in Topic			
	ComputeMM {MarginalModelCifti} R Documentation			
1:1 (Top Level) \$	pt ‡			
Console Terminal ×	ComputeMM – compute the marginal model at a			
~/ 🔅	single vertex/voxel			
Type 'q()' to quit R.	U			
[Workspace loaded from ~/.RData]	Description			
<pre>> library("MarginalModelCifti/")</pre>	This function will compute the marginal model on a single vertex or voxel. The function can be called in parallel to operate on a map. Such usage can be found in the main function			
<pre>Error in library("MarginalModelCifti/") : there is no package called 'MarginalModelCifti/'</pre>	Usage			
<pre>> library("MarginalModelCifti")</pre>				
> open ComputeFits.R	ComputeMM(cifti_meas, external_df, notation, family_dist)			
Error: unexpected symbol in "open ComputeFits.R"	Arguments			
> ComputeMM	Argumenta			

Here are all the parameters for ConstructMarginalModel()

- 1 external_df="/mnt/rose/shared/projects/ABCD/avg_pconn_maker/cordova_analysis_margmod_pcs/gp1_10min_pconn.csv"
- 2 concfile="/mnt/rose/shared/projects/ABCD/avg_pconn_maker/cordova_analysis_margmod_pcs/group1_10min.conc"
- 3 structtype="pconn"
- 4 structfile=NULL
- 5 matlab_path="/mnt/max/shared/code/external/utilities/Matlab2016bRuntime/v91"
- 6 surf_command="/mnt/max/shared/projects/FAIR_users/Feczko/code_in_dev/SurfConnectivity/"
- 7 wave = "/mnt/rose/shared/projects/ABCD/avg_pconn_maker/cordova_analysis_margmod_pcs/gp1_marg_nested.csv"
- 8 notation = formula(y~pc2_new)
- 9 corstr="independence"
- 10 family_dist="gaussian"
- 11 dist_type="radenbacher"
- 12 z_thresh = 2.3
- 13 nboot=4
- 14 p_thresh=0.05
- 15 sigtype="enrichment"
- 16 id_subjects="subjectkey"
- .7 output_directory="/mnt/rose/shared/projects/ABCD/avg_pconn_maker/cordova_analysis_margmod_pcs/pc2_gp1_test"
- 18 fastSwE=TRUE
- 19 adjustment=NULL
- 20 ncores=4
- 21 norm_external_data=TRUE
- 22 norm_internal_data=TRUE
- 23 marginal_outputs = FALSE
- 24 marginal_matrix = NULL
- 25 enrichment_path = "/mnt/max/shared/projects/FAIR_users/Feczko/code_in_dev/CommunityChisquaredAnalysis/"
- 26 modules = "/mnt/max/shared/projects/FAIR_users/Feczko/code_in_dev/CommunityChisquaredAnalysis/gordon_modules.csv" 27 wb_command = "/usr/local/bin/wb_command"
- 28

To make things easier – we've made a jupyter notebook that can be used as a reference

ile Edit View Insert Cell Kernel Help	Trusted
+ ⊗ 2 Image: A market Imarket <th></th>	
2) make a directory for the MarginalModelCifti package mkdir MarginalModelCifti	
3) enter the directory cd MarginalModelCifti	
4) clone the MarginalModelCifti repository git clone https://gitlab.com/Fair_lab/marginalmodelcifti.git ./	
5) return to your initial home directory cd	
5) Type R	
6) After a prompt appears, make sure devtools is installed by typing install.packages("devtools")	
7) Load devtools library(devtools)	
8) install the MarginalModelCifti package install("MarginalModelCifti/")	
NOTE: You may also want to clone the SurfConnectivity package, in case you do not have access to it.	
a) open a new terminal on exacloud	
b) make a directory for SurfConnectivity mkdir SurfConnectivity	
c) go into SurfConnectivity folder cd SurfConnectivity	
d) clone the SurfConnectivity repository here git clone https://gitlab.com/Fair lab/surfconnectivity.git ./	

Call the MarginalModelCifti library --- if this errors you will need to install it using devtools

In [1]: library(MarginalModelCifti)

Set your projects folder, which is where you plan to run the analysis, then go to the folder

In [2]: projectsfolder="/home/exacloud/lustre1/fnl_lab/projects/marginalmodelciftitest"

Outline of talk

- Theory recap: modelling approaches can be reduced to two types: predictive and descriptive
- "Big data" complicates our ability to apply both approaches
- Marginal Modelling is a good approach for descriptive modelling
- Functional Random Forests is a good approach for predictive modelling
- Other approaches can also handle big data, but are beyond the scope of this workshop

Nested structures -- people belong to multiple subtypes

Dialect preferences: soda, coke or pop?



Feczko, Miranda-Dominguez, Marr, Graham, Nigg, Fair, TICS, 2019, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2019.03.009

Nested structures -- people belong to multiple subtypes

Dialect preferences: soda, coke or pop?



U.S. 2016 presidential election voting preferences



Feczko, Miranda-Dominguez, Marr, Graham, Nigg, Fair, TICS, 2019, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2019.03.009

Nested structures -- people belong to multiple subtypes



U.S. 2016 presidential election voting preferences

But what about effects of scanner upgrades, software maintenance, or even changes in personnel?

If we want to control for unknown structure, we need to identify subtypes tied to an outcome

 Supervised approaches can confirm known subtypes but not discover unknown subtypes tied to an outcome If we want to control for unknown structure, we need to identify subtypes tied to an outcome

- Supervised approaches can confirm known subtypes but not discover unknown subtypes tied to an outcome
- Unsupervised approaches can discover unknown subtypes, but not tied to any outcome

How does the Functional Random Forest work?

Ask a question: can we predict depression diagnosis?

Supervised component

We start with an input dataset

Input dataset							
subject	RT (ms)	AMY volume	Outcome				
0001	700	1476	Depression				
0002	400	1648	No Diagnosis				
0003	640	1292	Depression				
0004	562	1743	No Diagnosis				

Supervised component

We start with an input dataset

		Input dataset		
	subject	RT (ms)	AMY volume	Outcome
	0001	700	1476	Depression
Supervised component	0002	400	1648	No Diagnosis
	0003	640	1292	Depression
	0004	562	1743	No Diagnosis

This dataset can be a functional connectivity matrix



This dataset can be a functional connectivity matrix – which gets reduced to either graph metrics or principal components

Supervised component



Input data are modeled via a random forest via validation/testing

Supervised component


Model is supervised because it attempts to predict the outcome of interest



Unsupervised component

If the random forest performs well on independent test data, a similarity matrix is produced from the RFs



Subgroups are identified from this matrix via Infomap



Subtypes arise from the model that are tied to the outcome



The FRF can be used to identify trajectories in longitudinal data



Combining the set of functions estimates a smooth trajectory for an individual's symptoms



Combining the set of functions estimates a smooth trajectory for an individual's symptoms



We can use an **unsupervised** approach to identify trajectories



Or use a "hybrid" approach that identifies trajectory subtypes tied to an outcome of interest



A manual for using the FRF exists online (<u>https://dcan-labs.github.io/functional-random-</u> forest/)

View on GitHub

functional-random-forest

Functional Analysis with Random Forests

Functional Random Forest (FRF) Manual

The Functional Random Forest presented in Subtyping cognitive profiles in Autism Spectrum Disorder using a random forest algorithm, E. Feczko Ph.D. et al.

This manual documents how to use the RFAnalysis package written by Eric Feczko. The manual is split into two main sections. The first section covers how to analyze cross-sectional data with the Random forest subgroup detection (RFSD) tool. The second covers how to analyze longitudinal trajectories with the Function Random Forest (FRF) tool. A brief introduction will walk the user through installing the software.

A new release is available at:

functional-random-forest

Functional Analysis with Random Forests

Functional Random Forest (FRF) Manual

The Functional Random Forest presented in Subtyping cognitive profiles in Autism Spectrum Disorder using a random forest algorithm, E. Feczko Ph.D. et al.

View on GitHub

This manual documents how to use the RFAnalysis package written by Eric Feczko. The manual is split into two main sections. The first section covers how to analyze cross-sectional data with the Random forest subgroup detection (RFSD) tool. The second covers how to analyze longitudinal trajectories with the Function Random Forest (FRF) tool. A brief introduction will walk the user through installing the software.

A manual for using the FRF exists online (<u>https://dcan-labs.github.io/functional-random-</u> forest/)

View on GitHub

functional-random-forest

Functional Analysis with Random Forests

Functional Random Forest (FRF) Manual

The Functional Random Forest presented in Subtyping cognitive profiles in Autism Spectrum Disorder using a random forest algorithm, E. Feczko Ph.D. et al.

This manual documents how to use the RFAnalysis package written by Eric Feczko. The manual is split into two main sections. The first section covers how to analyze cross-sectional data with the Random forest subgroup detection (RFSD) tool. The second covers how to analyze longitudinal trajectories with the Function Random Forest (FRF) tool. A brief introduction will walk the user through installing the software.

Outline of talk

- Theory recap: modelling approaches can be reduced to two types: predictive and descriptive
- "Big data" complicates our ability to apply both approaches
- Marginal Modelling is a good approach for descriptive modelling
- Functional Random Forests is a good approach for predictive modelling
- Other approaches can also handle big data, but are beyond the scope of this workshop

New approaches within statistics and machine learning can also accommodate problems with big data

- Many of these approaches have been developed in genomics
 - comBat is a Bayesian approach to handle known site effects in data
 - Surrogate Variable Analaysis
- Such approaches need to be examined in the context of neuroimaging data to evaluate where each is most useful
- Knowing how to use these tools requires considerable skill in data science, which has been relatively untaught in mental health fields
- Hopefully, the workshop tomorrow should get you excited about applying these new tools and on your path towards doing "big data" science right.

Acknowledgments

air Lab

-Damien Fair

-Oscar Miranda-Dominguez

-Alice Graham



Alpha Testers

- -Bene Ramirez
- -Jennifer Zhu
- -Robert Hermosillo
- -Mollie Marr
- -Oliva Doyle
- -Michaela Cordova
- -AJ Mitchell

Computing Team -Darrick Sturgeon -Eric Earl -Anders Perrone -Emma Schifsky -Anthony Galassi -Kathy Snider -David Ball

-Lucille Moore

Acknowledgments

- The mentors
 - Damien Fair
 - Joel Nigg
 - Eric Fombonne
 - Shannon McWeeney
- Other Labs:
 - Nigg Lab
 - McWeeney Lab
 - The collaborators:
 - Sarah Karalunas
 - Alison Hill
 - Jan Van Santen

- The databasors
 - Lourdes Irwin
 - Darrick Sturgeon
 - Rachel Klein
- The developers
 - Eric Earl
 - Anders Perrone
 - Darrick Sturgeon



• Everyone I forgot, which is many ☺

- The assessors:
 - Beth Langhorst
 - Michaela Cordova
 - Bene Ramirez
 - Brian Mills
 - Olivia Doyle
 - The students:
 - Iliana Javier
 - Nadir Balba
 - The docs:
 - Alice Graham
 - Oscar Miranda-Dominguez
 - Binyam Nardos

Questions?

High dimensionality is bad for predictive modelling



Feczko, Miranda-Dominguez, Marr, Graham, Nigg, Fair, TICS, 2019, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2019.03.009

Predictive models must also take into account nested structure



https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3880143/